Case 1:07-cv-06359-HB Document 16-5 Filed 10/19/2007 Page 1 of 10

Exhibit D

AO 440 (Rev. 10/93) Summons in a Civil Action - SDNY WEB 4/99

# United States District Court

SOUTHERN

DISTRICT OF \_

NEW YORK

ARYEH COHEN,

Plaintiff,

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL CASE

V.

CASE NUMBER:

LOOKING FOR PALLADIN, LLC, MAHYAD TOUSI, TOUSI PRODUCTIONS, LLC, ANDRZEJE KRAKOWSKI and PINE HILL PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Defendants.

TO: (Name and address of defendant)

Looking for Palladin, LLC 4 Pine Hill Drive

Katonah, NY 10536

Mahyad Tousi 65 Roebling St. Unit 102

Tousi Productions, LLC 65 Roebling St., Unit 102 Brooklyn, NY 11211

Pine Hill Productions, Inc. Brooklyn, NY 11211 Andrzej Krakowski 4 Pine Hill Drive Katonah, NY 10536 Katonah, NY 10536 Katonah, NY 10536 YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to serve upon PLAINTIFF'S ATTORNEY (name and address)

McLaughlin & Stern, LLP 260 Madison Avenue 18th F1. New York, NY 10016 (212) 448-1100

an answer to the complaint which is he	erewith served upon you, within	20	days after service of this
summons upon you, exclusive of the	day of service. If you fail to do so it	indoment by de	foult will be taken and make an a.
the relief demanded in the complaint.	You must also file your answer with	the Clark of this	Court within a reasonable you for
of time after service.	. The strate also into your arister will	me Office of the	Court within a reasonable period

J. MICHAEL McMAHON

arios Lunter

JUL 1 2 2007

CLERK

DATE

(BY) DEPUTY CLERK

AO 44	40 (Rev. 10/93) Summons In a Civil Action	n -SDNY WEB 4/99				
<u> </u>		RETURN OF SE	RVICE			
	rvice of the Summons and Compl	laint was made by me <sup>1</sup>	DATE			
NAME	OF SERVER (PRINT)		TITLE			
Che	eck one box below to indicate app	propriate method of service				
	Served personally upon the defo	rved personally upon the defendant. Place where served:				
	Left copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with a person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein.  Name of person with whom the summons and complaint were left:					
	Returned unexecuted:					
	Other (specify):					
		STATEMENT OF SERV	VICE FFES			
TRAVE	L.	SERVICES	TOTAL			
		DECLARATION OF S	SERVER			
I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing information contained in the Return of Service and Statement of Service Fees is true and correct.						
	Executed on	Si	ignature of Server			
			g			
		Ac	ddress of Server			
			•			

<sup>(1)</sup> As to who may serve a summons see Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ARYEH COHEN,

-against-

17 C

6359

COMPLAINT

COMILAINI

DEMANDS TRIAL

PLAINTIFF

BY JURY

LOOKING FOR PALLADIN, LLC, MAHYAD TOUSI, TOUSI PRODUCTIONS, LLC, ANDRZEJ KRAKOWSKI and PINE HILL PRODUCTIONS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

Plaintiff Aryeh Cohen, for his complaint states:

## The Parties

- 1. Plaintiff is an individual residing at 1866 East 13th Street, Brooklyn, New York.
- 2. On information and belief, defendant Looking for Palladin, LLC ("Looking for Palladin") is a limited liability company with an address located at 4 Pine Hill Drive, Katonah, New York.
- 3. On information and belief, defendant Mahyad Tousi ("Tousi") is an individual residing at 65 Roebling St., Unit 102, Brooklyn, New York.
- 4. On information and belief, defendant Tousi Productions, LLC ("Tousi Productions") is a limited liability company with an address located at 65 Roebling St., Unit 102, Brooklyn, New York.
- 5. On information and belief, defendant Andrzej Krakowski is an individual who resides at 4 Pine Hill Drive, Katonah, New York.
  - 6. On information and belief, defendant Pine Hill Productions, Inc. ("Pine Hill") is a

corporation with an address located at 4 Pine Hill Drive, Katonah, New York.

#### Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and 15 U.S.C. §77v over the First Cause of Action set forth herein, because the First Cause of Action arises under 15 U.S.C. §77 l (1). This Court has supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367 over the Second Cause of Action set forth herein, because the Second Cause of Action is so related to the First Cause of Action that they form part of the same case or controversy.
- 8. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §77v, because defendants transact business in this District, and because the sale of the security at issue was consummated in this District.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 9. Looking for Palladin was formed as a limited liability company to develop and produce a motion picture titled "Looking for Palladin" (the "Film").
  - 10. Tousi Productions and Pine Hill are the Managers of Looking for Palladin.
- 11. By reason thereof, Tousi Productions and Pine Hill are "controlling persons" of Looking for Palladin, as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. §770.
- 12. On information and belief, defendant Tousi is the Manager of Tousi Productions who exercises control over the affairs of Tousi Productions.
- 13. On information and belief, defendant Krakowski is a shareholder, officer and director of Pine Hill, who exercises control over the affairs of Pine Hill.
- 14. By reason thereof, Tousi and Krakowski are "controlling persons" of Looking for Palladin, as that term is used in 15 U.S.C. §770.

- 15. In or about the spring of 2006, the defendants prepared and distributed by means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails a Confidential Private Placement Memorandum (the "Memorandum") which purported to describe to a limited number of qualified investors the proposed sale by Looking for Palladin of Class A membership interests in Looking for Palladin (the "Units").
- 16. Each of the Units constituted a "security" as that term is used in the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act").
- 17. The Memorandum stated that the offered securities had not been registered under the Securities Act, that the offering was being made under exemptions from the Securities Act, and that the offering was being made solely to "accredited investors" as that term is defined in Regulation D ("Regulation D") promulgated under the Securities Act..
- 18. In the spring of 2006, plaintiff was 28 years old and was a law student at New York Law School. Plaintiff was not an accredited investor under Regulation D.
  - 19. In or about the spring of 2006, defendants commenced an effort to sell a Unit to plaintiff...
- 20. Defendants and their agents did not inquire of plaintiff as to whether he was an accredited investor under Regulation D.
- 21. Plaintiff did not represent to defendants or their agents that he was an accredited investor under Regulation D.
- 22. The proposed Subscription Agreement for the sale of a Unit to plaintiff provided that plaintiff would pay \$70,000.00 for a Unit.
  - 23. Plaintiff advised defendants that he did not have \$70,000.00 available, and that he could

pay \$65,000.00 from his own funds and from borrowed funds.

- 24. Plaintiff's advice to defendants made them aware, or should have made them aware, that plaintiff was not an accredited investor.
- 25. Notwithstanding the foregoing, defendants agreed that plaintiff could pay \$65,000.00 on execution of the Subscription Agreement, and \$5,000.00 on or before October 2, 2006.
- 26. On or about July 17, 2006, in the New York City office of counsel for Looking for Palladin, plaintiff executed a Subscription Agreement which provided that Looking for Palladin would sell and plaintiff would purchase one Unit in Looking for Palladin in consideration of \$70,000.00, payable \$65,000.00 on execution and \$5,000.00 on or before October 2, 2006. At that time, Plaintiff delivered a check for \$65,000.00 to counsel for Looking for Palladin, and the check was cashed.
- 27. Because the offered securities were not registered under the Securities Act, and because plaintiff was not an accredited investor under Regulation D, Looking for Palladin sold plaintiff a security in violation of 15 U.S. C. § 77e of the Securities Act.
  - 28. Plaintiff has demanded the return of the \$65,000.00 paid to Looking for Palladin.
- 29. Plaintiff has tendered the Unit, and by this Complaint again tenders the Unit, to Looking for Palladin, in exchange for return of the said \$65,000.00.
  - 30. Looking for Palladin has refused to return said \$65,000.00 to plaintiff.
- 31. By reason thereof, there is due and owing to plaintiff from Looking for Palladin the sum of \$65,000.00, together with accrued interest.
- 32. By reason thereof, because Tousi, Tousi Productions, Krakowski and Pine Hill each are controlling persons of Looking for Palladin, there is due and owing to plaintiff from each of them, jointly

and severally, the sum of \$65,000.00, together with accrued interest.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 33. Plaintiff repeats and realleges paragraphs 1 through 32 hereof.
- 34. Plaintiff is an aspiring actor.
- 35. Plaintiff desired to act in the Film.
- 36. Defendants knew that Plaintiff desired to act in the Film.
- 37. Defendants induced Plaintiff to purchase a Unit by telling him that he would be given a part in the Film.
- 38. Plaintiff, in reliance on defendants' representations that he would be given a part in the Film, executed the Subscription Agreement, and delivered \$65,000.00 to defendants.
- 39. Principal photography of the Film commenced at the end of July 2006, in Antigua, Guatemala.
- 40. In reliance on defendants' representations that he would have a part in the Film, plaintiff traveled to Antigua on or about July 28, 2006.
  - 41. Defendant Tousi was the producer of the Film.
  - 42. Defendant Krakowski was the director of the Film.
- 43. On information and belief, within a few days of plaintiff's arrival in Antigua, defendant Tousi formed an extreme personal animosity towards plaintiff, and decided to force plaintiff off the Film because of that personal animosity.
- 44. Because of that personal animosity, defendant Tousi, and other individuals acting, on information and belief, on Tousi's behalf, threatened plaintiff with arrest and other possible

consequences, if he did not abandon the Film and leave Antigua.

- 45. Because plaintiff was in fear of arrest and other possible adverse consequences, he told defendant Krakowski that he had to leave the Film temporarily, because of a family emergency.
- 46. Within days, plaintiff attempted to return to the Film, but again, defendant Tousi threatened plaintiff with arrest and other possible consequences if he did not once and for all abandon the Film and leave Antigua.
- 47. By reason thereof, plaintiff believed that his personal safety required that he abandon the Film and leave Antigua, and he did so.
- 48. On information and belief, defendant Tousi acted willfully and purposely with the intention to inflict emotional distress on plaintiff. Such acts were done in reckless disregard of the probability of causing plaintiff emotional distress.
- 49. The acts of defendant Tousi did, in fact result in plaintiff suffering mental and emotional distress, to the extent that he was required to obtain psychological help and to take medication.
- 50. By reason thereof, there is due and owing to plaintiff from defendant Tousi an amount to be proved at trial, but in no event less than \$100,000.00.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff demands judgment as follows:

- (i) on the first cause of action, judgment against defendants, and each of them, in the amount of \$65,000.00, together with accrued interest;
- (ii) on the second cause of action, judgment against defendant Tousi in an amount to be proved at trial, but in no event less than \$100,000.00; and
  - (iii) costs, disbursements, and for such other and further relief as to the Court seems just and

proper.

July 12, 2007

McLaughlin & Stern, LLP

Jon Paul Robbins (#8517)

Attorneys for Plaintiff 260 Madison Avenue

New York, New York 10017

212-448-1100